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Editorial

ABSTRACT
Scientific publishing is the ultimate product of scientist work. Number of publications and their quoting are measures of scientist success while unpublished researches are invisible to the scientific community, and as such nonexistent. Researchers in their work rely on their predecessors, while the extent of use of one scientist work, as a source for the work of other authors is the verification of its contributions to the growth of human knowledge. If the author has published an article in a scientific journal it cannot publish the article in any other journal with a few minor adjustments or without quoting parts of the first article, which are used in another article. Copyright infringement occurs when the author of a new article with or without the mentioning the author used substantial portions of previously published articles, including tables and figures. Scientific institutions and universities should, in accordance with the principles of Good Scientific Practice (GSP) and Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) have a center for monitoring, security, promotion and development of quality research. Establish rules and compliance to rules of good scientific practice are the obligations of each research institution, universities and every individual-researchers, regardless of which area of science is investigated. In this way, internal quality control ensures that a research institution such as a university, assumes responsibility for creating an environment that promotes standards of excellence, intellectual honesty and legality. Although the truth should be the aim of scientific research, it is not guiding fact for all scientists. The best way to reach the truth in its study and to avoid the methodological and ethical mistakes is to consistently apply scientific methods and ethical standards in research. Although variously defined plagiarism is basically intended to deceive the reader’s own scientific contribution. There is no general regulation of control of scientific research and intellectual honesty of researchers which would be absolutely applicable in all situations and in all research institutions. A special form of plagiarism is self-plagiarism. Scientists need to take into consideration this form of plagiarism, though for now there is an attitude as much as their own words can be used without the word about plagiarism. If the authors cite their own research facilities already stated then they should be put in quote and cite the source in which it was published. Science should not be exempt from disclosure and sanctioning plagiarism. In the fight against intellectual dishonesty on ethics education in science has a significant place. A general understanding of ethics in scientific research work in all its stages had to be acquired during the undergraduate course and continue to intensify. It is also important ethical aspect of the publishing industry, especially in small and developing economies, because the issuer has an educational role in the development of the scientific community that aspires to relish so. In this paper author describes his experiences in discovering of plagiarism as Editor-in-Chief of three indexed medical journals with presentations of several examples of plagiarism recorded in countries in Southeastern Europe. Key words: ethical dilemmas, scientific publishing, medical journals, plagiarism, self-plagiarism.

Most people say that is the intellect which makes a great scientist. They are wrong: it is character.”
Albert Einstein, scientist

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Ethical aspects of publishing
Publications are the end-products of the scientific work, and their quantity and citability are keys to the promotion of scientists. Once published, a scholarly paper becomes a source for references, post-publication review and critique. To contribute to the bulk of knowledge of evidence-based medicine (EBM), the paper should be credible (1). It should be based on optimal research design and reporting (2, 3).

Researchers and authors of scholarly papers have to follow ethical codes of Good Scientific Practice (GSP) (4, 5, 6, 7), primarily based of the principles of honesty and integrity (3). In the modern-day collaborative and multidisciplinary research, honesty of each and every author is becoming a pillar of trustworthy science.

By claiming authorship of scholarly works, researchers get promotion and numerous other academic benefits. However, they also become responsible for what they publish and influence future publications, and science and education at large (8, 9, 10).

Currently many learned associations provide and strongly advocate field-specific standards of research, ethical reporting and publishing aimed at preserving the integrity of science (11, 12, 13).
1.2. Quoting and CITING references
Researchers rely on the published data, and have to be skilled to selectively process these data, to incorporate previous knowledge into a new paper, and to distinguish original ideas and research results from already publicized ones. Authors are obliged to follow ethical, moral, and legal regulations acceptable by the scientific community (2, 9). To do so, they must properly cite relevant publications and quote borrowed published or unpublished ideas and words (2, 9, 10). Simply, when an author copies others’ text word for word, the borrowed passage should be enclosed in the quotation marks (inverted commas). The reader should be clearly informed over what is original and recycled from other sources (2, 9, 14, 15).

Current academic promotion and research funding are heavily dependent on the quantity of articles. ‘Publication at Any Cost’ and ‘Publish or Perish’ mantras adversely affect the whole research environment and cultivate recycled writing (1, 3). It is absolutely unacceptable to re-publish a paper with minor changes, without referring to the primary publication, and to present it to the readership as a new source. In this case, the author may breach the copyrights of the publisher, holding all rights for distribution and republication of the primary source. The same applies not only to the verbatim copying of the text, but also to the borrowing of published tables, figures, and other graphical material, even those of the same author. It is also unacceptable to excessively rely on multiple published papers, even if these are properly paraphrased or summarized in a secondary publication (review, editorial). The readership deserves to find new points, ideas and original texts in each and every scholarly paper (14). This is why some leading publishers set limits of references for different types of papers.

1.3. Good laboratory and scientific practice
In accordance with the principles of GSP and Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), scientific and academic institutions should have units for monitoring research and development of the quality. The institutions should set policies and take responsibility for integrity of research reporting. This is particularly important for revealing potential of novice researchers.

The adherence to the codes of GSP, in turn, ensures the quality of education (16, 17, 18, 19). Reliable and evidence-based sources are increasingly extrapolating into medical practice guidelines, influencing human health. Accordingly, scientific misconduct may have far-reaching detrimental consequences.

Historically, first attempts to tackle scientific misconduct and dishonesty were made in the U.S. in 1992 by launching the Office of Research Integrity (ORI). The organization’s main tasks included promotion of scientific integrity, development of guidelines for scientific research, and investigation of allegations of misconduct, particularly in biomedicine (1). Based on the US model, many national bodies for ethics in science were established globally. As a result, science editors became familiar with multiple cases scientific dishonesty.

Another major step forward was the establishment of the UK-based Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE; 1997). COPE introduced scientific principles of fairness and developed a set of flowcharts specifically dealing with misconduct.

1.4. Intellectual dishonesty in science
Truth and trustworthy results are ‘flesh and bones’ of scientific research. To have trustworthy results and to avoid misconduct, researchers should use optimal study designs and follow ethical standards.

Scientific misconduct can be unintentional and intentional. The former is usually due to inappropriate use of research methodology, while the latter is a frank dishonesty and violation of ethical standards (intellectual dishonesty).

Misconduct can take the form of legal violations. Inappropriate authorship and research manipulations can be viewed as serious ethical and legal violations, subject to punishments of respective scientific and academic institutions (1, 3, 17, 18, 19).

Common causes of intellectual dishonesty in science are:
- All-pervasive ‘Publish or Perish’ mantra;
- Personal ambitions of poorly educated individuals;
- Vanity;
- Financial pressure.

The worst forms of scientific misconduct and intellectual dishonesty are:
- Falsification of the obtained data;
- Fabrication of the data;
- Plagiarism of ideas and words (stealing others’ ideas, data, texts) (1, 3).

2. PLAGIARISM

2.1. The definition and classification of plagiarism
The term plagiarism stems from the Latin word *plagium*, meaning kidnapping a man. It literary means theft, taking material authored by others and presenting as someone else’ (19). Plagiarism of words and ideas can be unintentional and intentional (14). It is “the tendency of literary theft and illegal appropriation of other people’s spiritual property in general” or generally “passing others’ work as own” (20).

As Miguel Roig from St. John’s University (USA) described in several his published papers about plagiarism (20) “the journal’s publisher, explains that plagiarism takes many forms, from passing off another’s paper as the author’s own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another’s prepare, without attribution to claiming results from research conducted by others”. In all its forms, as Elsevier publisher edited, plagiarism constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable (21).

Plagiarism dates back to the foundation of science communication as a discipline. According to the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) strict definition, plagiarism is when six consecutive words are copied (6, 25), 7 to 11 words are...
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2.2. Prevention and detection of plagiarism and self-plagiarism

There are no universal regulations on plagiarism prevention suitable for all scientific and academic institutions (1). The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) gave a detailed explanation of what is not a duplicate publication (25). COPE attempted to tackle the problem of plagiarism by recommending cooperation with research institutions and retraction of untrustworthy material (26).

In the U.S., ORI suggested sanctions for plagiarists in 1989. Since then, ORI has reported a constant rise of cases of misconduct and plagiarism (27). If there is an instance of substantive plagiarism (copying more than 25% of the published source), the redundant manuscript should be withdrawn from the publication process and actions taken to inform respective institution(s). If plagiarism is surfaced after the publication, editors should retract the paper and inform the readership on misconduct (1). The respective COPE flowchart is helpful in this regard.

Ethical aspect of publishing is especially important for small and developing economies (17). The participation of scientists from Bosnia and Herzegovina in the global scientific communication entails the obligation of accepting international standards cite the sources used (9). Authors should:

- Always follow rules of properly citing references, acknowledging ideas taken at conferences and formal/informal discussions;
- References must contain full bibliographic information;
- Each source cited in the text must be listed in the bibliography;
- Quotation marks should be used if more than 6 consecutive words are copied;
- Obtain permission from other authors/publishers to reproduce copyright-protected graphics or text;
- It should be also noted that plagiarism can now be detected electronically (e.g., by use of CrossCheck of iThenticate).

If an author reuses his/her own published text, quotation marks should enclose the recycled text with a citation to the primary source. This will allow avoiding accusations of self-plagiarism. It is possible to republish the data previously presented in the form of congress abstract, with a reference to the abstract. To avoid instances of self-plagiarism, publishers usually ask the
authors to sign a statement of originality, and even this option does not prevent from instances of misconduct (1, 4, 25, 26) (Figure 3).

2.3. Examples of dishonesty in science

Cases of plagiarism have been known throughout history of mankind. It affected not only medicine, but many other disciplines. Mozart’s masterpieces were copied by Salieri. Shakespeare’s poems were also plagiarized.

Perhaps the first reported cases of intellectual dishonesty are those from the U.S., related to John’s Darsee and Robert Slutsky. Dr John R. Darsee, a young researcher in clinical cardiology, published many scientific papers and put names of faculty colleagues as co-authors, though most of them were not or were minimally involved in research (‘honorary authors’). In 1981, colleagues and supervisors discovered fabrication of the published data, mostly from the years of studentship at Emory and Harvard Universities. More than 10 primary publications and 45 abstracts were retracted as a result of the investigation (27).

Robert Slutsky worked as a radiologist in University of California. He put names of numerous ‘honorary’ co-authors, but did not insist to be the first author in the bylines. An investigation found that 137 of its 77 publications were valid, 48 were questionable, and 12 were false (8, 28).

In our times, plagiarism reported even among politicians and state leaders, who plagiarized during the PhD writing. Also, this plague affected many doctorate and master theses, and regular articles in Bosnia and Herzegovina and some other countries of South Europe. A case of copying graphics from an American textbook into a doctorate thesis of copying graphics from an American textbook were translated into Croatian and published without acknowledging primary textbook. An investigation confirmed that the accusations of plagiarism were correct. After decision of a public warning made by Court of Honor at Medical School, University of Zagreb author moved to another University.

In the former Yugoslavia, number of plagiarism cases in textbooks, articles, monographs, scientific and professional articles is increasing. To their more intense detection helped database browser and some specially designed software for these purposes. The key reasons for the intense plagiarism is, how Serbian scientists mentioned in blogs on web sites, the lack of basic medical research, which are absent because less money is allocated for the research (28). But, also one of the key reasons is the newly introduced Bologna principle of studies that needs many experts to be involved in the teaching process and which significantly changed the selection criteria for teachers in teaching and academic titles.

Scientists in Serbia and Croatia with petitions stood up in defense of academic dignity against those who plagiarize in their papers the other authors. And I am also among those who are copied. However, as editor, I was able during 2012 to withdraw 5 articles from publishing because it was indicated to me by the reviewers or readers of articles about plagiarism and in one case by an author who was plagiarized and the article was published in the Medical Archives journal that I edited (Figure 2). In the former Yugoslavia before 1991 (the war in the Balkans) there were 140 indexed journals. Today there is only 1/3 of that number, but the number of plagiarism increased four times. I think that this is a serious problem that should be addressed in the international academic community, because it is not only a question of credibility disrupted scientists from former Yugoslov countries, but also journals and indexing databases which stores plagiarized articles. This problem is difficult to solve because a large number of plagiarism has done with people involved in political decision-making in these countries.

To detect plagiarism more easily, during the 80’ of last century started the development of software to detect academic and scientific plagiarism. Academic plagiarism is more easily detected by the software as Turnitin and SafeAssign and scientific plagiarism with CrossCheck and eBlast software. The software consists of algorithms to detect similarities, associated databases and
web sites by which it compares the article. This software packages helps to identify plagiarism but they also have flaws and still needs improvements (32).

“Plagiarism is not a crime per se but is disapproved more on the grounds of moral offence” (24). Honesty in science is the very basis of its existence. Even a shadow of dishonesty may devalue the work and lead to the loss of respect (29, 30, 33, 34).

3. CONCLUSION

Scientific and academic institutions should have established units for monitoring, promotion and research development. Science should not be exempt from disclosures and sanctions due to plagiarism.

Scientists from Bosnia and Herzegovina take part in the global scientific communication, and need a body to facilitate scientific research, to adopt ethical standards and guidelines.

There is a dilemma: who, on what basis (criteria, standards, rules), when and how should declare someone a plagiarist. Then, which institutions or which scientific body committee at the national or international level, when plagiarism is proven, can someone sanction and what are the sanctions.

It is necessary to work on mechanisms for early detection of plagiarism, and relevant software should be used by all reputed journals.

At an international level, a database of all cases of plagiarism should be launched with disclosure of all names of blacklisted plagiarist.
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